Investigation of Singlet-Triplet Transitions by the Phosphorescence Excitation Method. Spectroscopic Determination of Intersystem Crossing Quantum Yields and Extinction Coefficients of Singlet-Triplet Transitions

By RAYMOND F. BORKMAN and DAVID R. KEARNS

(Department of Chemistry, University of California, Riverside, California)

WE previously reported that the phosphorescence excitation method (PEM) is useful for investigation of the singlet-triplet absorption spectra of organic molecules.¹ In that preliminary report we obtained the position and relative intensity of the lowest singlet-triplet transition of 1-bromonaphthalene, but did not determine the absolute magnitude of the singlet-triplet extinction coefficient, $\epsilon_{\rm ST}$. In this Communication, we show how PEM may be used either to determine spectroscopically intersystem crossing yields, $\phi_{\rm IC}$, in molecules where ϵ_{sT} can be measured directly, or to measure ϵ_{sT} -values in molecules where ϕ_{IC} is known.

In PEM, the observed intensity of phosphorescence, $I(\lambda)$, is monitored as a function of the wavelength, λ , of the exciting light. If λ corresponds to a singlet-singlet absorption band, $I(\lambda_s)$ will be given by

$$I(\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}) = \epsilon_{\mathbf{ss}}(\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}) \cdot C_{\mathbf{s}} \cdot \phi_{\mathbf{IC}} \cdot q \cdot L(\lambda_{\mathbf{s}}) \cdot X(C_{\mathbf{s}})$$
(1)

where $L(\lambda_s)$ is the intensity of the exciting light at

```
TABLE<sup>a</sup>
```

Compound	$\epsilon_{ m SS}(\lambda_{ m S})$	$\epsilon_{ ext{ST}}(\lambda_{ ext{T}})$	$\gamma(\lambda_{ m S},\lambda_{ m T})$	$\epsilon(\lambda_{ m S})/\epsilon(\lambda_{ m T})$	ϕ_{IC} (calc.)	ϕ_{IC} (lit.)
1-Bromonaphthalene	357 (3190 Å)	0·014 (4400 Å)	25,000	25,500	1.0	(1·0) ^b
Benzophenone	65 (3700) ´	0.036 (4040)	2200	2000	1.1	1.0c
Acetophenone	8.2 (3550)	0.080 (3760)	122	102	$1 \cdot 2$	1.0c

^aAll absorption and emission measurements in rigid (ether-toluene, 4:1) glass at 77°K.

•No literature value appears to be available, but the observed fluorescence quantum yield, (ref. 2) $\phi_f = 0.0016$ suggests that ϕ_{IC} is near unity.

•See reference 3.

wavelength λ_s , C_s is the molar concentration, q is an apparatus constant, ϵ_{ss} (λ_s) is the extinction coefficient for the singlet-singlet transition, and Xis the fraction of triplet-state molecules which phosphoresce. If λ corresponds to a singlettriplet absorption band, $I(\lambda_{\rm T})$ will be given by

$$I(\lambda_{\mathbf{T}}) = \epsilon_{\mathbf{ST}} (\lambda_{\mathbf{T}}) \cdot C_{\mathbf{T}} \cdot q \cdot L(\lambda_{\mathbf{T}}) \cdot X(C_{\mathbf{T}})$$
(2)

where $C_{\mathbf{T}}$ is the molar concentration. In order for PEM to work properly, the optical density of the sample at the excitation wavelength must be small, and therefore $C_{\rm s} < < C_{\rm T}$. From Equations 1 and 2, we find that

$$\frac{\phi_{\rm IC} \cdot \epsilon_{\rm SS}(\lambda_{\rm S})}{\epsilon_{\rm ST}(\lambda_{\rm T})} = \frac{I(\lambda_{\rm S})}{I(\lambda_{\rm T})} \cdot \frac{C_{\rm T}}{C_{\rm S}} \cdot \frac{L(\lambda_{\rm T})}{L(\lambda_{\rm S})} \equiv \gamma(\lambda_{\rm S}, \lambda_{\rm T}) \qquad (3)$$

The quantity ϵ_{ss} , and all of the quantities on the right hand side of Equation 3 can be easily determined experimentally, and thus the only unknown quantity is $\phi_{\rm IC}/\epsilon_{\rm ST}$. If either $\phi_{\rm IC}$ or ϵ_{st} can be measured independently, PEM may be used to determine the other.

In the present work we have measured ϵ_{sT} for 1-bromonaphthalene, benzophenone, and acetophenone at 77° k by conventional absorption techniques, and these results are presented in the Table and the Figure. The phosphorescence excitation spectra, $I(\lambda)$ against λ , for these same compounds are also presented in the Figure and the essential data are collected in the Table. From these data, and Equation 3, we have calculated ϕ_{IC} -values for the three compounds. Since ϵ_{ST} and γ were only measured to within \pm 10%, the calculated $\phi_{\rm IC}$ -values are uncertain by about $\pm 20\%$. These results are also presented in the Table, where they are compared with $\phi_{\rm IC}$ -values from the literature. Within the precision of our measurements, the agreement with previously determined $\phi_{\rm IC}$ -values is satisfactory.

Because of the recent availability of $\phi_{\rm IC}$ -values,^{3,4}

and the difficulty associated with direct measurement of ϵ_{sr} -values, PEM would appear to have its greatest utility in the determination of ϵ_{sr} -values, rather than $\phi_{\rm IC}$ -values.



FIGURE. Absorption and phosphorescence excitation spectra of 1-bromonaphthalene, benzophenone, and acetophenone in a rigid ether-toluene glass at 77°K.

(Received, June 6th, 1966; Com. 372.)

- ² V. L. Ermolaev and K. K. Svituschev, Optics and Spectroscopy, 1959, 7, 399.
 ³ A. A. Lamola and G. S. Hammond, J. Chem. Phys., 1965, 43, 2129.
 ⁴ C. A. Parker and T. A. Joyce, Chem. Comm., 1966, 234.

¹ W. Rothman, A. Case, and D. R. Kearns, J. Chem. Phys., 1965, 43, 1067.